



Pharming Group

Sijmen de Vries Chief Executive Officer

Bruno Giannetti Chief Medical Officer **Robin Wright** *Chief Financial Officer*

Mireille Sanders Senior Vice President, Operations Anurag Relan Vice President, Clinical Research/Medical Affairs

Pharming Forum Day

26 October 2019



The information contained in this document and communicated verbally to you (together the "Presentation") is being supplied to you solely for your information and may not be copied, reproduced or further distributed to any person or published, in whole or in part, for any purpose.

The Presentation does not form any part of an offer of, or invitation to apply for, securities in Pharming Group N.V. (the "Company").

The Presentation speaks as of the date shown on the front cover. The Company assumes no obligation to notify or inform the recipient of any developments or changes occurring after the date of this document that might render the contents of the Presentation untrue or inaccurate in whole or in part. In addition, no representation or warranty, express or implied, is given as to the accuracy of the information or opinions contained in the Presentation and no liability is accepted for any use of any such information or opinions given by the Company or by any of its directors, members, officers, employees, agents or advisers.

The Presentation contains forward-looking statements, including statements about our beliefs and expectations. These statements are based on our current plans, estimates and projections, as well as our expectations of external conditions and events. Forward-looking statements involve inherent risks and uncertainties and speak only as of the date they are made. The Company undertakes no duty to update these and will not necessarily update any of them in light of new information or future events, except to the extent required by applicable law.

The Company's securities have not been and will not be registered under the U.S. Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), and may not be offered or sold in the United States absent registration under the Securities Act or an available exemption from, or transaction not subject to, the registration requirements of the Securities Act.

Company Overview



- Public Company: Euronext: PHARM: ~€750million (~\$820 million)
- Domiciled: the Netherlands, ~206 employees globally
- Current Focus: Rare and Ultra-rare disease development and commercialization
 - Marketed product: RUCONEST®
 - Recombinant human C1-esterase inhibitor (enzyme replacement therapy)
 - For acute angioedema attacks in patients with hereditary angioedema (HAE)
 - Marketed in USA, EU, LatAm, Korea and Israel with other territories coming
- ✓ Profitable and cash flow positive with 9m 2019 net sales of €123M and expecting continued growth in sales



Pharming today and into the future





From this we can drive lasting additional growth by developing innovative solutions in select rare, ultra-rare and specialty diseases





RUCONEST[®] : Strong Execution of Commercial Strategy

- HAE is a complex, serious disease with many idiosyncrasies and a varied market. The current approved therapies all address certain specific segments/phenotypes of HAE.
- RUCONEST[®] as the only recombinant PRT serves a segment the other therapies are unable to serve in an adequate way, due to its dosing and method of administration
- Pharming, as a result of the solid RUCONEST[®]; business, has a strong balance sheet with growing cash position
- With Q3 results, we are almost at the full year 2018 level

Pharming is in a very strong position to execute and grow





RUCONEST®: Patient Segmentation









Investment Strategy: Focus, Leverage our Strengths



- Continued Investment in HAE

Investment in new indications for rhC1INH such as Pre-eclampsia and AKI for C1INH

Licensing/acquisition of additional rare/ultra-rare asset near-to-market

Active Business Development aimed at capital efficient and smart investments that leverage commercial infrastructure ahead of maturation of new indications/ new internal pipeline projects

High Potential Pipeline







From Milk to Medicine

Mireille Sanders MSc

Sr VP Operations

From Milk to Medicine





Step 1: USP



- 4x per week milking
- Skimming of milk
- Shelf-life 4 years



Step 2 and 3: Transport and Storage



- Transport of freezers
- Controlled storage at \leq -60 °C





Step 5: Downstream Processing





Formulation

 \bullet

~90 bags

2 bags Drug Substance (DS)

Step 5: Downstream Processing













Step 6: Transport





Step 7: Fill & Finish







4 bags





~2500 vials

Step 7: Fill & Finish











Step 9: Packaging & Labeling





From Milk to Medicine







RUCONEST[®] in Hereditary Angioedema

Prof. Bruno Giannetti MD PhD



Overview of HAE

Genetic and Biochemical Background Clinical Picture HAE

RUCONEST[®] (C1 esterase inhibitor [recombinant])

Recombinant Technology

Clinical Data

Latest Developments

The Complement Cascade - Contact Pathway



Pharming



The Complement Cascade - Overview

Pharming



TYPE I

- Characterized by low levels (below 50% of normal) and low inhibitory function of C1-INH
- Approximately 85% of HAE C1-INH cases

TYPE II

- Associated with normal or elevated antigenic levels of C1-INH with low functional activity
- Approximately 15% of HAE C1-INH cases

HAE With Normal C1-INH

- Previously known as type III HAE
- Most cases are due to unknown cause
- Due to various mutations in FXII gene, resulting in increased activity of FXII, leading to a high generation of bradykinin

HAE occurs in about 1 in 50,000 people.

HAE Attacks Vary in Frequency, Severity and Localization



• According to the results of a 2015 survey (n=77).

HAE causes repeated episodes of unexplained swelling that can occur at any time, in almost any part of the body
Nearly all patients with HAE described their symptoms during an attack as "moderately severe" or "very severe"

HAE Attacks – Clinical Examples



Facial swelling during an HAE attack



Hand swelling during an HAE attack



Abdominal swelling during an HAE attack



Swelling can start in one area and spread to another. Sometimes, this can become life-threatening. It's important to treat all HAE swells at the first sign of symptoms



SELECTED DATA ON TREATMENT OF ACUTE HAE ATTACKS





One Dose of RUCONEST Stopped Most Acute Attacks





97% of attacks needed JUST ONE DOSE of RUCONEST 50 U/kg

(open-label extension phase, n=44 [170 attacks])

~9 out of 10 patients achieved symptom relief in the pivotal clinical trial with just one dose (n=44)

One Dose of RUCONEST Stopped Most Acute Attacks



Patients enrolled in clinical studies were required to be experiencing a moderate to severe HAE attack prior to starting RUCONEST









TIME OF taking RUCONEST 1 HOUR after taking RUCONEST 4 HOURS after taking RUCONEST 24 HOURS after taking RUCONEST

Additional Dosing Requirements



- 79% of HAE attacks were treated with 1 dose of therapy
- Icatibant had the highest number of treated attacks requiring >1 dose (44%)



Need of Retreatment

HAE = hereditary angioedema; pdC1-INH = plasma-derived C1 esterase inhibitor; rhC1-INH = recombinant human C1 esterase inhibitor. Magerl M, Zampeli V, Buttgereit T, Maurer M. Magerl M, et al. Presented at the 4th GA²LEN Global Urticaria Forum, Berlin Germany, December 5-6, 2018.





97% of acute attacks were successfully treated with just one dose



Relief that lasted for at least three days



High dose of C1-INH to treat the root cause of HAE



Symptom relief that's proven rapid and reliable



Recombinant design with a **dependable supply**



Individualized nurse support



SELECTED DATA ON PROPHYLAXIS OF ACUTE HAE ATTACKS
Pharming

Prophylaxis For HAE Phase 2: Study Design



Patient population:

- ≥13 years of age
- Functional C1-INH <50% of normal using a chromogenic assay
- Frequent HAE attacks*

Randomly assigned to 1 of 6 treatment sequences, each consisting of 3 separate 4-week treatment periods separated by a 1-week washout period

- rhC1-INH 50 IU/kg⁺ twice weekly
- rhC1-INH 50 IU/kg⁺ once weekly + placebo once weekly
- Placebo twice weekly

Rescue medications permitted for breakthrough HAE attacks[‡]

• pdC1-INH only permitted for laryngeal HAE attacks



- $* \ge 4$ attacks per month for ≥ 3 consecutive months. *50 IU/kg for patients <84 kg; 4200 IU for patients $\ge 84 \text{ kg}$.
- [‡]Rescue medications could include open-label rhC1-INH, HAE-specific medications (eg, icatibant), or symptomatic medications (eg, narcotics).
- C1-INH = C1 esterase inhibitor; HAE = hereditary angioedema; pdC1-INH = plasma-derived C1 esterase inhibitor; rhC1-INH = recombinant human C1 esterase inhibitor.
- Riedl MA, et al. Lancet. 2017;390(10102):1595-1602.

Clinical Response Frequency (PP Population)

Achievement of clinical response* more consistent with twice-weekly dosing of rhC1-INH



Twice-Weekly Dosing (n=23)

Once-Weekly Dosing (n=23)

- *Defined as a \geq 50% reduction in the number of HAE attacks that occurred during rhC1-INH treatment versus attacks that occurred during placebo treatment
- [†]Two patients had an increase in HAE attack frequency while receiving once-weekly rhC1-INH prophylaxis (one patient had an increase of 40%, and one patient had an increase of 62.5%)
- HAE = hereditary angioedema; PP = per-protocol; rhC1-INH = recombinant human C1 esterase inhibitor.
- Riedl MA, et al. Lancet. 2017;390(10102):1595-1602.

Pharming

- Pharming
- Prophylaxis with plasma-derived C1-INH (n=22) resulted in varying reductions in HAE attack frequency



- *2 patients had an increase in HAE attack frequency while receiving plasma-derived C1-INH prophylaxis.
- C1-INH = C1 esterase inhibitor; HAE = hereditary angioedema.
- FDA Briefing Document. Blood Products Advisory Committee Meeting. http://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/ac/08/briefing/2008-4355B2-1b.htm. Published May 2008. Accessed July 26, 2016.

Pharming

Possible Mode of Action of rhC1-INH in HAE Prophylaxis

Normalize C1-INH levels for irreversible binding to target proteases (factor XII, kallikrein)¹⁻³

Differences in glycosylation between pdC1-INH and rhC1-INH lead to high affinity of rhC1-INH for MBL⁴

- Localization vs extravasation
- Reduction of endothelial cell activation^{3,5}

Platelets

- Known to store C1 inhibitor and release from granules⁶
- Patients with HAE have low platelet C1-INH levels^{6,7}

Immunohistochemical localization of rhC1-INH and pdC1-INH in mouse cortex after focal ischemia and 30 minutes of reperfusion⁴



Localized in brain capillaries

pdC1-INH

C1-INH = C1 esterase inhibitor; HAE = hereditary angioedema; MBL = mannose binding lectin; MOA = mechanism of action; pdC1-INH = plasma-derived C1 esterase inhibitor; rhC1-INH = recombinant human C1 esterase inhibitor.

1. Plosker GL. BioDrugs. 2012;26(5):315-323. 2. Farrell C, Hayes S, Relan A, et al. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2013; 76(6): 897-907.

3. Hofman ZL et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2016;138(2):359-366. 4. Gesuete R, et al. Ann Neurol. 2009;66(3):332-342.

5. Kajdácsi E et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2014;133(6):1686-1691. 6. Schmaier AH, et al. J Clin Invest. 1985;75(1):242-250. 7. Schmaier AH, et al. Blood. 1993;82(2):465-474.

Diffuse staining in brain parenchyma



SPECIAL CASES/RECENT RESULTS

Is IM Administration of rhC1-INH an Alternative for the Treatment of Acute Attacks in Patients With HAE?

Staevska M, Valerieva A. AAAAI/WAO Joint Congress. March 2-5, 2018; Orlando, FL

ę	P: 185	Is Intramuscul for the Treatn	lar An	dministr of Acute	Attacks	Rec in F	ombinant Patients w	Human C1 ith Heredit	-Inhit ary A	oitor an ngioede	Alternative ma?	AAAAI/WAO Joint Congress 2-5 Merch 2018 ORLAVOL FLORIDA
	P Medical	University of Sofia, Clinical C	Centre of	Allergology, S	iofia, Bulgaria		O +	www.egmil.com;1	. St. Geo	rgi Sofieki Biya	t, Sofia, Bulgaria	USA
	2.2.3		_					_	-			
	Rationale		-		IM Administ	tration	of the INH				Results	
endizary angloeder racterized by local pue rgical acute abdomen loced and/or dystumo set for MLR cases, patie fical facilities, causi lacement therapies in other intervention	a) (MAL) IS a potential ellings of the skin or muccola, or lead to apphysization, duri ional C2-inhibitor (C2-INH) I respectively, mts have compromised veins registerious treatment dela highd circumstances, differi highd circumstances, differi	y. Iffe-threatening, disease which could be mistaken for- ing laryngezi edema attacks, has been identified as a root a and/or difficulties accessing ays. To effectively provide ent roots of administration periodeset in the threat		K		he dosi or 2 via Fil, each terent nimus r	e used per applica ils of rhC1-INH, s injected intramu injection sites or m. quadriceps f	tion was, either rolved in 10 ml scularly in (m. giuteus emorit).	VAS () In A cr	san) for <u>IM it</u> imparable IM	C1-INH was similar to P Intection (Table 3)	v injections and comparable
eived bioavailability	might he sufficient to eve	m treat acute HAE attacks	Mp	an abdominal	hC3nh during				1	VAS pain IV	VAS pain chC1-INH IM	VAS pain comparable IM*
travenous treatmen	t of hereditary angioedema	(HAZ) attacks in adults and	-					51:	1,36	1,57	1.5	
escents, but has also been used subcutaneously and tolerability data have a published for rhCs aver. ⁽³⁾			Results				52:	0,53	0,55	0,5		
	Mathods						herare	Average	53:	1,16	1,66	1,5
1.0	meanous			Average Delay	Average Delay	1	Duration Attack	Duration Attack	541	0,83	1,18	1.0
	Subject 1: 61-yes	ar old female. 97 kg	100	10 (min) 22	IM (min)	10	(min)	ALCO	\$51	0.96	1.53	1.0
n .	Subject 2: 34-yes	ar old male, 65 kg	511	50	17 54	165	114				Name and Address of the Owner o	
	Subject J: 17-yes	ar old female, 60 kg	44	55	29.		585	400	Advan	-	ild brainer and trace	story local edges at the
	Subject 4: 33-yes	ar old male, 98 kg	35	22	40	3,0	203	100	iniarti	net situa unica	reported in 7 of 52 intra	mutual rientian
т	Subject 5: 72-yes	ar old female, 67 kg	80.	445			3/0	379				and the second second
Patient	s had either difficult vent	NS. ACCESS.							10.00	COL 110 112 15	a procession of the state of the	ne referance.
reported d	ifficulties accessing medic	ai facilities or	Aver	Table age delay of trea	1 Intent (monotes)	1.1	Average duration of	e z (ettecks (minutes)			Conclusions	
espena	the subscreen sector	COLUCIT.	<u>95 H</u>	E attacks were	followed: 53	were th	eated with IM rhC	LINH	1. 1	ntramusculi	ar application of rhC	1-INH in 5 HAE
all ethical implica ig nen-ille-threate wit diaries ware an AL attacks – differi- jection pain by the ocumentation of a Visual analogue les collected detail mat, of HAE symp	Intions were discussed, Mi ning HAE attacks was een adyxed, which was een adyxed, which was een en time points, e visual analogue acate (V dwerse events: scale (VAS) is about different time point proms, 21 decision-thetre	Invarient with (KCL-INE) cident da option. cournentation for. (AS), (AS)	3 attu 2 of Subje (165 The 5 IV ad Both share M tr	those HAE att those HAE att ct 1 (37 kg) is min IM, 240 m ministration (7 delay of decis er in IM means ested attacks	second dose of sola were initi- nd Subject 4 () in IM, and 300 tment was sho shie 1). ion-to-treat an d attacks, resu- n 4 of the patie	t rhC1-1 with the 98 kg1 min IV) state_in state_in iting in ints (Tel	NH (introduced N abed M with 2 v after a certain de comparison to th of application of shorter average a ble 2).), iais of mC2-INH, iay of treatment a usually delayed (treatment wore strack duration of	2, 2 3, 1 4, 1 5, F	Autority for administration (1000 rhC1- ml WFI (he intramu effects (exce (AS (pain) for comparable Further stud ocal patholo	nong so soute attack e, demonstrating an on to the intravenou INH can be successf scular administratio pt local pain). pr IM administration IM injection. les are ongoing/plan agy and bioavailabili	s on cernand Was safe atternative is roote of application. Jully reconstituted in 10 in showed no adverse is similar to IV and a nined investigating the by:

AAAAI = American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology; HAE = hereditary angioedema; IM = intramuscular; rhC1-INH = recombinant human C1 esterase inhibitor; WAO = World Allergy Organization.

IM rhC1-INH Self-Administration

1 to 2 vials of rhC1-INH; each vial reconstituted in 10 mL of water for injection
Injected into musculus gluteus maximus or musculus quadriceps femoris (1 vial per site)



- 5 patients with difficult venous access, difficulties accessing medical facilities, or unnecessary treatment delay
 - Patient 1: 61-year-old female; 97 kg
 - Patient 2: 34-year-old male; 65 kg
 - Patient 3: 17-year-old female; 60 kg
 - Patient 4: 33-year-old male; 98 kg
 - Patient 5: 72-year-old female; 67 kg
- 95 HAE attacks
 - 53 treated with IM rhC1-INH; 42 with IV rhC1-INH
 - 3 attacks initially treated with IM rhC1-INH required a second dose (administered IV)
- Patients completed patient diaries

HAE = hereditary angioedema; IM = intramuscular; IV = intravenous; rhC1-INH = recombinant human C1 esterase inhibitor. Staevska M, et al. Presented at the American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology/World Allergy Organization Joint Congress (AAAAI/WAO), Orlando, FL, March 2-5, 2018.

Delay in Treatment and HAE Attack Duration

- The time to IM rhC1-INH treatment was shorter versus time to IV rhC1-INH treatment
- Mean delay in treatment was shorter with IM administration for all 5 patients
- IM-treated HAE attacks had shorter mean duration in 4 of 5 patients

	Mean Delay in	Treatment (min)	Mean Duration of Attacks (min)		
Patient	IV	IM	IV	IM	
1	77	42	522	450	
2	50	17	365	314	
3	55	29	585	400	
4	123	89	570	579	
5	59	29	412	299	

IM = intramuscular; IV = intravenous; rhC1-INH = recombinant human C1 esterase inhibitor.

Staevska M, et al. Presented at the American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology/World Allergy Organization Joint Congress (AAAAI/WAO), Orlando, FL, March 2-5, 2018.

Comparison of Pain With IM Versus IV Administration



	rhC1-INH Ad Mean Pa	Non–rhC1-INH Comparable IM		
Patient	IV	IM	Injection*	
1	1.4	1.6	1.5	
2	0.5	0.6	0.5	
3	1.2	1.7	1.5	
4	0.8	1.2	1.0	
5	1.0	1.5	1.0	

- IM rhC1-INH pain ratings were similar to IV rhC1-INH and nondrug–comparable IM injection
- Mild bruising and transient edema at the injection site were reported in 2 of 53 IM injections
- No moderate or serious AEs were reported

*Retrospectively evaluated.

AEs = adverse events; HAE = hereditary angioedema; IM = intramuscular; IV intravenous; rhC1-INH = recombinant human C1 esterase inhibitor; VAS = visual analog scale. Staevska M, et al. Presented at the American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology/World Allergy Organization Joint Congress (AAAAI/WAO), Orlando, FL, March 2-5, 2018.

Long-Term Prophylaxis With rhC1-INH in Patients With HAE: Is IM Administration an Option?

Valerieva A, Krusheva B, Staevska M. AAAAI/WAO Joint Congress. March 2-5, 2018; Orlando, FL



AAAAI/WAC

AAAAI = American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology; HAE = hereditary angioedema; IM = intramuscular; rhC1-INH = recombinant human C1 esterase inhibitor; WAO = World Allergy Organization.

IM Administration of rhC1-INH

- 2 vials rhC1-INH 2100 IU; each vial reconstituted in 10 mL of water for injection
- 1 vial injected into musculus gluteus maximus;
 1 vial injected into musculus quadriceps femoris









- 2 patients with difficult venous access and 4 to 7 HAE attacks/month before prophylaxis was introduced had difficulties accessing medical facilities and experienced unnecessary treatment delay
- Treated with IM prophylaxis 4200 IU twice weekly:
 - Patient 1: 58-year old female; 85 kg
 - Patient 2: 72-year old male; 90 kg
- 232 IM administrations of rhC1-INH
 - Treatment duration was 22 and 36 weeks for patient 1 and 2, respectively
- Patients completed patient diaries

HAE = hereditary angioedema; IM = intramuscular; rhC1-INH = recombinant human C1 esterase inhibitor. Valerieva A, et al. Presented at the American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology/World Allergy Organization Joint Congress (AAAAI/WAO), Orlando, FL, March 2-5, 2018.

Efficacy of IM rhC1-INH Prophylaxis

1 breakthrough HAE attack occurred

- Abdominal/urogenital attack in Patient 1 after stressful event; successfully treated with IM rhC1-INH 4200 IU administered 20 minutes after onset of attack
- Five events prodromal symptoms reported
 - Symptoms of abdominal discomfort and bloating in Patient 2
 - Treated with IM rhC1-INH
- IM rhC1-INH pain ratings were less versus IV rhC1-INH administration and similar to nondrug– comparable IM injection
- Mild bruising and transient edema at injection site
 - Patient 1: 7 events with 88 doses
 - Patient 2: 0 events with 144 doses
 - No moderate or serious AEs reported

*Retrospectively evaluated.

AEs = adverse events; HAE = hereditary angioedema; IM = intramuscular; IV = intravenous; rhC1-INH = recombinant human C1 esterase inhibitor. Valerieva A, et al. Presented at the American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology/World Allergy Organization Joint Congress (AAAAI/WAO), Orlando, FL, March 2-5, 2018.

	rhC1-INH Ad Mean Pa	Non–rhC1-INH Comparable IM	
Patient	IV	IM	
1	2.5	1.5	1.3
2	2.0	0.6	0.5

rhC1-INH for Short-Term Prophylaxis in Patients With HAE* Recombinant human C1 esterase inhibitor as short-term prophylaxis in patients with hereditary angioedema Anna Valerieva, MD, PhD*, Maria Staevska, MD, PhD*, Milos Jesenak, MD, PhD, MBA, MHAbe

Zanichelli A, Staevska M, Jesenak, M, et al.

ACAAI Annual Scientific Meeting.

November 15-19, 2018; Seattle, WA

*Valerieva A, et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. Published online ahead of print August 19, 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.jaip.2019.08.011. ACAAI = American College of Allergy, Asthma, and Immunology; HAE = hereditary angioedema; rhC1-INH = recombinant human C1 esterase inhibitor.

Katarina Hrubiskova, MD^c, Marta Sobotkova, MD^d, Radana Zachova, MD^d, Roman Hakl, MD^{*}, Sladjana Andrejevic, MD, PhD¹, Tobias Suiter, MD⁹ Vesna Grivcheva-Panovska, MD, PhD^h,

Ljerka Karadza-Lapic, MD, PhD', Daniel Soteres, MD, MPH', Ralph Shapiro, MD⁴, Jeffrey Rumbyrt, MD⁴, Raffi Tachdilan, MD, MPH", Vinay Mehta, MD", F. Ida Hsu, MD^o, and Andrea Zanichelli, MD^o

· Limited data are available on recombinant human C1 esterase inhibitor as short-term prophylaxis. A case serie of 51 patients (70 procedures) indicated that recombinant human C1 esterase inhibitor short-term prophylaxis administered within several hours before a medical/dental procedure was efficacious and well tolerated.

TO THE EDITOR:

Hereditary ansiotedema (HAE), an inherited deficiency of functional C1 esterase inhibitor (C1-INH), is characterized by recurrent episodes of disabling and often painful swelling in subcutaneous and/or submucosal tissues.¹ HAE attacks are generally unpredictable, but triggers for an attack can include having a dental or medical procedure (eg, surgery), other trauma. or stress.¹² A preemptive management plan for patients under-going these types of situations may reduce the risk of HAE attacks. Recommendations include administration of short-term prophylaxis in patients with HAE before invasive medical procedures, especially those involving the upper airways or digestive tract, with C1-INH concentrate typically the medication of

Recombinant human C1-INH (rhC1-INH) is a C1-INH concentrate indicated in the United States and the European Union for the treatment of acute attacks in adults and adolescents with HAE, and several studies have demonstrated that rhC1-INH is efficacious and well tolerated.³⁻⁷ Weight-based dosing is recommended for rhC1-INH (<84 kg, 50 IU/kg, >84 kg, 4200 IU), rhC1-INH has also been shown to be efficacious and well tolerated as long-term prophylaxis in patient with frequent attacks of HAE." However, data are needed on the efficacy and safety of rhC1-INH as short-term prophylaxis. The objective of the present study was to assess rhC1-INH as shortterm prophylaxis in patients with HAE/angioedema due to C1 inhibitor deficiency.

In this retrospective study, patients diagnosed with C1 inhibitor deficiency from the United States and Europe were

treated with rhC1-INH before medical procedures or stressful life events. Patients from this study population who were not receiving long-term prophylaxis and underwent medical pro-cedures or stressful life events without short-term prophylaxis were included as part of a self-control group, and these proced-ures were included in the control analyses. HAE attacks were recorded through 7 days postprocedure/even

Fifty-one patients from 7 countries (Bulgaria [n = 11], Czech Republic [n = 7], Croatia [n = 2], North Macedonia [n = 10], Serbia [n = 3]. Slovakia [n = 11], and the United States [n = 7]) were included in this study. Most of the study population was female (n = 32; 62.7%), with a median age and weight of 44 years (range, 17-73 years) and 74.0 kg, respectively. Most paients had type I HAE (n = 47; 92.2%). Overall, the patients i this case series had a median of 14 attacks annually. Twelve (23,5%) of the 51 patients were receiving long-term prophylaxis and received either datazol (n = 10; dose range, 100-300 mg of varying frequency [eg, daily, every other day, 6 times per week]) or tranexamic acid (n = 2; dose range, 1000-2000 mg/d). For I of these 12 patients, the prophylactic dose was increased from danazol 200 mg/d to 600 mg/d for 1 day before and 2 days after surgery

A total of 70 procedures were recorded for the 51 patients, for which the median rhC1-INH dose given was 3075 IU (range, 2100-4200 IU). More than half the administrations of rhC1-INH were in conjunction with dental procedures (52,9%); there was 1 case of a stressful life event (Table 1). Most (97.3%) dental procedures in patients administered rhC1-INH were characterized as high risk, and included tooth extraction, oral surgery, and cutting of soft tissaes. Nineteen (27.1%) of the 70 procedures were from 12 patients receiving long-term prophy laxis. The rhC1-INH prophylaxis was administered a median of 60 minutes before the procedures; in most cases (n = 48; 68.6%), the rhC1-INH was administered 10 to 65 minutes before the procedure. Of these 48 procedures in which rhC1-INH was administered within 10 to 65 minutes pre procedure, 25 were dental (52,1%), 16 were surgical (33,3%), and 7 were endoscopy (14,6%). A subset of patients served as a self-control set of procedures and included 16 patients who had undergone 26 procedures with no long- or short-term prophy-laxis preprocedure. Most of these 26 control procedures were dental (n = 17; 65.4%) or surgical (n = 6; 23.1%; Table I).

Overall, 97.1% of the 70 procedures with rhC1-INH shortterm prophylaxis administration were attack-free during the 2 days after the procedure, compared with 23.1% of the 26 pro-cedures in the self-control group (Figure 1). For the 2 HAE attacks (peripheral [hand, knee]) that occurred within 2 days postprocedure in the rhC1-INH group, rhC1-INH was adminstered 230 minutes and 24 hours or more preprocedure, respectively. Within 7 days postprocedure, 88.6% of the 70 cases with rhC1-INH short-term prophylaxis administration were attack-free, compared with 19.2% of the 26 control cases (Figure 1). For the 6 rhC1-INH cases in which an attack occurred between 2 and 7 days postprocedure, the timing of rhC1-INH administration preprocedure was 60 minutes or less (n = 3), 120 minutes (n = 1), 280 minutes (n = 1), or not reported (n = 1). When the 19 procedures for the patients o

Patient Population

- Retrospective analysis of rhC1-INH administration before medical procedures or stressful life events
 - Control cases included patients who weren't receiving long-term prophylaxis and did not receive short-term prophylaxis
- HAE attacks were recorded through 7 days postprocedure/event
- Included 51 patients from 7 countries
 - 70 procedures with rhC1-INH prophylaxis
 - 51 procedures with no long-term prophylaxis
 - 26 control procedures

C1-INH-AAE = acquired angioedema due to acquired C1 esterase inhibitor deficiency; HAE = hereditary angioedema; rhC1-INH = recombinant human C1 esterase inhibitor. Valerieva A, et al. *J Allergy Clin immunol Pract.* Published online ahead of print August 19, 2019. Data on file Pharming Healthcare Inc. 2019.

Patients (N=51)
44 (17-73)
32 (62.7)
74.0
47 (92.1) 3 (5.9) 1 (2.0)
14
12 (23.5) 10 (19.6) 2 (3.9)

Procedures, Dose, and Timing of rhC1-INH Short-Term Prophylaxis Administration

	Cases, n (%)		
	rhC1-INH	Self-Control	
	Prophylaxis	Group*	
Category	(n=70)	(n=26)	
Dental procedure [†]	37 (52.9)	17 (65.4)	
High-risk	36 (97.3)	16 (94.1)	
Low-risk	1 (2.7)	1 (5.9)	
Surgical procedure	21 (30.0)	6 (23.1)	
Endoscopy procedure	11 (15.7)	2 (7.7)	
Stressful life event	1 (1.4) [‡]	1 (3.8)‡	

*Cases in self-control group in which patients did not receive long-term or short-term prophylaxis. [†]Dental procedures characterized as high-risk for the rhC1-INH group: tooth extraction(s) (n = 24), dental procedure NOS (n = 6), root canal (n = 3), cavity/filling under local anesthesia (n = 1), dental veneer (n = 1), and dental abrasion (n = 1), and for the self-control group: tooth extraction(s) (n = 14), cavity obturation (n = 1), and root canal (n = 1). For both groups, teeth cleaning was classified as low-risk (1 in each group). [‡]Stressful life event was identified as an adventure holiday in the mountains and classified as a "procedure" for ease of presentation. The same patient went on 2 adventure holidays in the mountains, 1 year apart. During the first stressful life event, the patient did not receive short-term prophylaxis (self-control group); for the second event, the patient received rhC1-INH as short-term prophylaxis (rhC1-INH prophylaxis group). NOS = not otherwise specified; rhC1-INH = recombinant human C1 esterase inhibitor. Valerieva A, et al. *J Allergy Clin immunol Pract.* Published online ahead of print August 19, 2019.

Median rhC1-INH dose: 3075 IU (range, 2100-4200 IU)

Total



In 68.6% of cases (48/70), rhC1-INH was administered 10 to 65 minutes prior to procedure

Efficacy Outcomes



rhC1-INH prophylaxis (n=70 procedures)

- rhC1-INH prophylaxis (n=51 procedures with no LTP)
- Control: no prophylaxis (n=26 procedures)*

*Self-control group who did not receive long-term or short-term prophylaxis preprocedure. LTP = long-term prophylaxis; rhC1-INH = recombinant human C1 esterase inhibitor. Valerieva A, et al. *J Allergy Clin immunol Pract.* Published online ahead of print August 19, 2019.

rhC1-INH as Short-Term Prophylaxis for Dental Procedures in Patients With Angioedema: A Case Series

Valerieva A, Staevska M, Jesenak M, et al. Presented at AAAAI Annual Meeting. February 22-25, 2019; San Francisco, CA



AAAAI = American Academy of Allergy Asthma & Immunology; rhC1-INH = recombinant human C1 esterase inhibitor.

Patient Population

- Retrospective analysis of rhC1-INH administration before dental procedures in patients with C1-INH-HAE
- Control cases included patients who weren't receiving long-term prophylaxis and did not receive short-term prophylaxis for a dental procedure
- HAE attacks were recorded through 7 days postprocedure/event
- 37 procedures with rhC1-INH prophylaxis
 - 62.2% involved dental extractions
 - Other dental procedures included abscess draining, root canal, teeth cleaning, dental impaction, and dental veneer procedure
- 16 control procedures

Parameter	Patients (N=29)
Age, y, median (range)	44 (17.5-73.1)
Female, n (%)	21 (72.4)
Weight, kg, median (range)	74.0 (50-119)
HAE type, n (%) Type I Type II C1-INH-AAE	26 (89.7) 2 (6.9) 1 (3.4)
HAE attacks/y, median (range)	17 (0-90)
Patients on long-term prophylaxis, n (%) Danazol Tranexamic acid	5 (17.2) 4 (13.8) 1 (3.4)

C1-INH-AAE = acquired angioedema due to acquired C1 esterase inhibitor deficiency; HAE = hereditary angioedema; rhC1-INH = recombinant human C1 esterase inhibitor. Valerieva A, et al. Presented at: American Academy of Allergy Asthma & Immunology Annual Meeting. February 22-25, 2019; San Francisco, CA.

Efficacy Outcomes



 For 1 attack within 2 days post-procedure in rhC1-INH group

- rhC1-INH (4200 IU; 37.5 IU/kg) was administered 230 minutes pre-procedure
- Patient had mild knee edema and required no treatment

*Self-control group in which patients did not receive long-term or short-term prophylaxis.

rhC1-INH = recombinant human C1 esterase inhibitor.

Valerieva A, et al. Presented at: American Academy of Allergy Asthma & Immunology Annual Meeting. February 22-25, 2019; San Francisco, CA.

Experience With rhC1-INH for HAE Attacks **During Pregnancy**^{*}

Bernstein JA, Moldovan D, Hakl R, et al. ACAAI 2018 Annual Scientific Meeting. November 15-19, 2018; Seattle, WA

*Moldovan D, et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. Published online ahead of print June 3, 2019. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2019.05.042. ACAAI = American College of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology; HAE = hereditary angioedema; rhC1-INH = recombinant human C1 esterase inhibitor.

Clinical Communications

Safety of recombinant human C1 esterase inhibitor for hereditary angioedema attacks during pregnancy Dumitru Moldovan, MD, PhD*** Jonathan A. Bernstein, MD^b, Roman Hakl, MD^c,

Grzegorz Porebski, MD, PhD^d, Kimberly Poarch, PA-C^a, William R. Lumry, MD^{sci}, and Anurag Relan, MD^a

Clinical Implications

· Limited clinical data are available on hereditary angioedema treatments during pregnancy. A case series of 14 pregnant women demonstrated that treatment with recombinant human C1 inhibitor was generally safe and well tolerated

TO THE EDITOR

Hereditary angioedema (HAE) is a rate (<1 in 50,000) genetic disorder characterized by episodes of cutaneous and mucosal angioedema.¹ HAE is caused by insufficient suppression of complement and contact-system cascades due to a deficiency of functional C1 inhibitor (C1-INH).¹ It has been demonstrated that HAE abdominal attacks are more frequent during pregnancy, but there is no consensus on which trimester is most associated with increased attack rates.^{2,3} Some data suggest that more severe attacks and symptoms occur during the first trimester,2 whereas other data suggest a greater number of attacks in the second and third trimesters.5 Changes in hormone levels during pregnancy may exacerbate HAE attacks, and concernswith administration of certain medications during pregnancy can complicate HAE management.

Recombinant human C1-INH (rhC1-INH) is indicated in the United States for the treatment of acute attacks in adolescents and adults with HAE. Data have demonstrated that rhC1-INH is efficacious and well tolerated for the acute treatment of HAE attacks,45 and as prophylaxis in patients with frequent attacks of HAE.⁶ However, data are limited on the treatment of HAE attacks in women who are pregnant. The objective of this current communication was to further characterize the clinical outcomes of pregnant patients with HAE who were treated with thCI-INH to manage HAE attacks, with the intent that these realworld findings will build a knowledge base around the use of rhC1-INH in this patient population.

Identified as part of routine pharmacovigilance or clinical trial participation, pregnant women with HAE from the United States and Europe who received rhC1-INH were followed to term. Adverse events that occurred during pregnancy were assessed and neonatal outcomes were reported

Fourteen pregnant women aged 17 to 37 years with HAE treated with rhC1-INH were identified (Table I) through spontaneous event reporting to Pharming Group NV (n = 13) or during participation in a Pharming-sponsored clinical trial (n = 1). Two of these 14 patients had an HAE type identified; both had type I HAE. Patient 9 received an unspecified number of treatments for HAE attacks, as well as a 4200-IU dose predelivery as short-term prophylaxis. Patient 12 received rhC1-INH for 24 attacks and received 26 rhC1-INH doses as prophylaxis. Patient 13 received rhC1-INH for 11 attacks and received 1 rhC1-INH dose as prophylaxis. The other 11 patients were treated with rhC1-INH (range, 2100-4200 IU) for 1 (n = 1 patient), 2 (n = 2), 4 (n = 1), 6 (n = 1), 8 (n = 2), 9(n = 2), 38 (n = 1), or 41 (n = 1) HAE attacks while pregnant. For

Patient	Age (y)	Weight (kg)	rhC1 INH dose per attacks	No. of treatments	Second thC 1-INH dose required for any attack	Rescue medication required for any attack
Patient I	27	65.5	2100 IU	9	No	No
Patient 2	NR	72.0	2100-4200 IU	41	No	No
Patient 3	21	84.0	2100 IU	8	No	No
Patient 4	29	120.0	4200 IU	4	No	No
Patient 5	24	NR	2100-4200 IU	1	No	No
Patient 6	30	63.6	50 IU/kg	6	No	No
Patient 7	26	NR	4200 IU	2	No	No
Patient 8	33	NR	50 TU/kg	2	No	No
Patient 9	23	NR	4200 IU	>1*	No	No
Patient 10	20	80-82	4200 IU	9	No	No
Patient II	25	63	2100-3150 IU+	8	Yes]	No
Patient 12	37	66	4200 IU	505	No	No
Patient 13	17	55	2700-4200 IU	129	No	No
Patient 14	37	75	4200 IU	38	No	No

NR, Not reported. "Received rbC1-INH during persuancy a "few" times and a prophylactic dose of rbC1-INH during delivery

Tocendi, 25,000 IU of thCL-INH administered during pregnancy. Received an initial dose of 3150 IU for an attack; a second dose (2000 IU) was administered 18 h later when symptoms did not resolve

§A total of 24 acute treatments and 26 provinviantic treatments (thC1-INH 3 times weekly). Heren treatments for HAE attacks and 1 prophylactic treatment

1



Pregnant women with HAE who received rhC1-INH were followed to full term

			rhC1-INH Dose	Number of	Second rhC1-INH Dose	Rescue Medication
Patient	Age, y	Weight, kg	Per Attacks	Treatments	Required for Any Attack	Required for Any Attack
Case 1	27	65.5	2100 IU	9	No	No
Case 2	NR	72.0	2100-4200 IU	41	No	No
Case 3	21	84.0	2100 IU	8	No	No
Case 4	29	120.0	4200 IU	4	No	No
Case 5	24	NR	2100-4200 IU	1	No	No
Case 6	30	63.6	50 IU/kg	6	No	No
Case 7	26	NR	4200 IU	2	No	No
Case 8	33	NR	50 IU/kg	2	No	No
Case 9	23	NR	4200 IU	>1*	No	No
Case 10	20	80-82	4200 IU	9	No	No
Case 11	25	63	2100-3150 IU [†]	8	Yes [‡]	No
Case 12	37	66	4200 IU	50§	No	No
Case 13	17	55	2700-4200 IU	12¶	No	No
Case 14	37	75	4200 IU	38	No	No

*Received rhC1-INH during pregnancy a "few" times and a prophylactic dose of rhC1-INH during delivery. [†]Overall, 25,900 IU of rhC1-INH administered during pregnancy. [‡]Received an initial dose of 3150 IU for an attack; second dose (2100 IU) was administered 18 h later when symptoms did not resolve. [§]Total of 24 acute treatments and 26 prophylactic treatments (rhC1-INH 3 times weekly). [¶]Eleven treatments for HAE attacks and 1 prophylactic treatment. HAE = hereditary angioedema; NR = not reported; rhC1-INH = recombinant human C1 esterase inhibitor. Moldovan D, et al. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract.* Published online ahead of print June 3, 2019. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2019.05.042.

Results (Cont'd)

- There were no AEs considered related to rhC1-INH treatment during pregnancy period
 - One patient (case 6) experienced an episode of nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea, considered related to a "stomach bug"
- All pregnancies resulted in live births with no fetal distress, birth defects, or congenital abnormalities

Hereditary Angioedema Attack In Utero and Treatment of Mother and Fetus





Vesna Grivcheva-Panovska, M.D., Ph.D., and Bruno Giannetti, M.D., Ph.D., Poster accepted for presentation, ACAAI, 2019 Annual Scientific Meeting (November 7-11, 2019; Houston, Texas).



Leveraging our "End to End" Infrastructure



Research and Development: Meeting the Unmet Need

Prof. Bruno Giannetti MD PhD



rhC1-INH in Pre-Eclampsia



Overview of Pre-eclampsia (NL: zwangerschapsvergiftiging)

The Complement Cascade PE - Definition, Prevalence PE - Clinical Manifestation Pathophysiological Hypotheses Clinical Plan

Therapeutic potential for rhC1INH



• C1INH appears to slow inflammatory response and thus limit tissue damage – potentially applicable in a number of clinical conditions



Note: for illustration only

64

Other potential options for development of rhC1INH



• The complement and contact systems are known to play a role in many diseases with an immune component, such as:





- A multisystem disorder
- Usually first detected by hypertension
- Proteinuria common but not essential for a clinical diagnosis of pre-eclampsia in the presence of other organ involvement, including feto-placental unit

Criteria: De novo hypertension after 20 weeks and new onset of one or more of:

- proteinuria
- renal insufficiency
- liver disease
- neurological problems
- haematological changes
- pulmonary oedema
- Fetal growth restriction

Pre-eclampsia (PE), Prevalence, Complications



• Pre-eclampsia (PE) has a prevalence of 1-17% throughout the world. Estimated yearly cases of PE in the US alone: 120.000+.

(Steegers et al., 2010; Osungbade and Ige, 2011)

- Delivery is presently the only therapy of PE, but this is not an option for early PE (from week 20 of gestation).
- The main goal of symptomatic therapy is to prolong gestation of PE patients as far as possible

Panel 1: Maternal and fetal complications in severe preeclampsia

Maternal complications

- Abruptio placentae (1–4%)
- Disseminated coagulopathy/HELLP syndrome (10–20%)
- Pulmonary oedema/aspiration (2-5%)
- Acute renal failure (1–5%)
- Eclampsia (<1%)
- Liver failure or haemorrhage (<1%)
- Stroke (rare)
- Death (rare)
- Long-term cardiovascular morbidity

Neonatal complications

- Preterm delivery (15–67%)
- Fetal growth restriction (10–25%)
- Hypoxia-neurologic injury (<1%)
- Perinatal death (1-2%)
- Long-term cardiovascular morbidity associated with low birthweight (fetal origin of adult disease)

Pre-eclampsia (PE) Complications





G.Dekker, Presentation, NY, June 21, 2018

Pre-eclampsia (PE), Pathophysiological Hypotheses



 Pregnant women and preeclamptic women have reduced circulating C1INH levels. Severely affected patients have significantly reduced C1INH levels

(W M Halbmeyer et al., 1991)

 Postulated mechanisms to the aetiology of PE (immunological, infectious, toxic) point to a chronic endothelial inflammation reaction with complement activation and therefore a related C1INH consumption

(G Girardi, 2018; Y Ma et al, 2018)



FIGURE 1 | A model of innate immunity incompatibility between maternal and fetal cells in preeclampsia and the maternal immune system. Failure of complement regulation on fetal tissue or excessive activation of the maternal complement system could result in complement attack against 1) invading trophoblast cells or 2) placental syncytiotrophoblast that represent the discordant interfaces. Accordingly, an imbalance between complement activation and regulation could contribute to the pathogenesis of preeclampsia. Specific foci for complement to attach could include syncytial bodies (apoptotic syncytial knots and syncytial sprouts), which are observed more often in preeclamptic placentae than in healthy controls.

The Complement Cascade - Overview



Pharming

Complement cascade involvement in Pre-eclampsia





Pre-eclampsia (PE) Clinical Plan



- There is no animal model mimicking appropriately the human pre-eclampsia situation.
- Ruconest[®] has been given to > 50 pregnant HAE patients to treat or to prevent HAE attacks (up to 40 times during a single pregnancy) no safety issue detected
 (Pharming, PSUR 2018)
- Ruconest[®] has been given to a pregnant HAE patient during delivery. Ultrasound monitoring of the baby revealed a peripheral HAE attack *in utero*. Ruconest[®] administration resulted in efficacious therapy of both mother and the newborn child (subsequently tested HAE positive)
 (V Grischeva, B Giannetti, ACAAI, 2019 poster publication accepted)
- Phase II clinical trial protocol to explore safety and efficacy of Ruconest[®] in the treatment of PE has been filed and accepted in the Netherlands and filed in Australia
- Trial has been initiated in The Netherlands. Awaiting final signature by EC in Australia


Research and Development: Activated PI3K-δ Syndrome (APDS)

Dr. Anurag Relan

Primary Immunodeficiency and APDS Background



- Primary immunodeficiencies (PID) lead to immune system dysregulation with numerous resulting complications
 - Prevalence 1 in 1200
 - More than 300 genes known to cause different PIDs
 - Highly variable clinical presentation, but increased susceptibility to infection is common to most PIDs
- Activated PI3 kinase delta syndrome (APDS) is a PID
 - Caused by autosomal dominant mutations
 - Increased activity of phosphoinositide-3-kinase δ (PI3K δ)
 - Estimated prevalence 1-2/million
 - More than 240 reported in literature
 - Screening in subset of PID patients has found rates: 5/669 (1%) and 17/184 (9%)
 - Commercially available genetic test

PI3Kδ and APDS Pathophysiology

- Phosphoinositide 3–kinase PI3K is a signaling pathway involved in a broad variety of cellular functions
- The PI3K delta isoform is primarily present in the immune system
- Regulation of PI3KD activity is required to ensure normal function and differentiation of immune cells.
- Since 2013, more than 200 patients have been found with mutations leading to hyperactivation of the PI3KD pathway
- As a result of this over activity, the B and T cells involved in immune response can fail to properly differentiate



APDS Clinical Spectrum



Varying clinical manifestations of symptoms and signs

- Recurrent infections
- Organomegaly
- Malignancy
- Autoimmunity

Jamee M, et al. Clin Rev Allergy Immunology. 2019.



Coulter et al, J.Allerg.Clin. Immunol. 2016



Lucas et al, Nature Immunol, 2014



Elgizouli et al Clin.Exp.Immunol. 2015



ADPS Patient Cohort Study, n=53

APDS Misdiagnosis



Distribution of primary clinical diagnosis of APDS patients



Review of 243 published APDS patients

- Symptoms occurred early 1-2 years of age
- Median age diagnosis: 12 years
- Positive family history of PID: 39%

APDS Treatment Options



- Current treatment options for APDS:
 - Symptomatic treatment e.g., antibiotics
 - Immune globulin replacement therapy (IVIG/SCIG)
 - Stem cell transplantation
 - Case reports of mTOR inhibitor rapamycin

• Leniolisib

- Potent, selective PI3Kδ inhibitor
- Treats the root cause of APDS
- Orally bioavailable tablet/capsule
- Direct PK/PD relationship observed
- Currently in registration-enabling pivotal study
- If approved, the drug is expected to reach the market in 1H 2022



Clinical: Leniolisib - Pivotal Study Program









Reduction in spleen size





Rao VK, et al. Blood. 2017.

Patient	Spleen volume ∆baseline	Lymph node ∆baseline
1	-26%	-51%
2	-39%	-13%
3	-57%	-65%
4	-36%	-33%
5	-39%	-48%
6	-37%	-31%
Mean	-39%	-40%

Lymph node size



baseline

week 12



Financial Performance & 2019 Outlook



9 months to 30 September

	2019	2019	2018	%
Amounts in €m except per share	3 rd Quarter	1 st 9 months	1 st 9 months	Change
data				
Income Statement				
Revenue from product sales	45.3	122.8	97.7	26%
Other revenue	0.2	0.6	0.6	
Total revenue	45.5	123.4	98.3	26%
Gross profit	40.1	107.1	82.4	30%
Operating result	18.1	42.7	31.0	38%
Net result	10.5	24.1	13.9	73%
Balance Sheet				
Cash & marketable securities	64.4	64.4	72.2	(11%)
Share Information				
Earnings per share (€): - Undiluted	0.017	0.038	0.022	73%
- Fully	0.015	0.036	0.021	71%
diluted				

* After restatement on the basis set out above and in Note 4 to the Financial Statements in the Annual Report 2018.

Summary and Outlook 2019 and beyond





- "End to End" infrastructure
- Continued growth of RUCONEST[®] sales
- Continued profitability and positive cash flows
- Continued investments in expansion of manufacturing
- Expedite development of Leniolisib to FDA and EMA approval
- Investments in C1INH clinical trials for PE and AKI
- Continued investments into development PRT for Pompe and Fabry
- Re-evaluation of most advantageous route of administration for RUCONEST[®]



www.pharming.com

ENXTAM: PHARM

Bloomberg: PHAR.AS